App. F. IX-4.

1924.

(Mk)

File No. 112-24

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8

Previous references.

Mk 136-VS 181-7

Later references.

Preserve

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Aug 26.

SUBJECT

Petition from Mr Gelakrishnappa, Devanahalli praying to undertake the Scheme of improving Sree Gopalakrishna Tank in connection with the Water Supply to Devanhalli.

8 To The Deputy Commr. Bangalore Dist
Nos 1481/d 26.8.26

returns the records connected with the above

Ks 30.8.26.

G.R.S. 11] 1924-25

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(No. I)

NOTES.

File No. 112-24

FILE HEADING.

Water Supply to Devarkonda.

From

Mr. Abdul Karimsaheb, Devarkonda

No. 96 Dated 17-9-24 Received 18-9-24

Diary No. 1958	Enclos. —	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Scheme for the scheme for water supply to Devarkonda town at a cost of Rs 6,500/- first considered by Govt. in 1911, & unearthed, by a farmer and thereafter the scheme of improving the Sri Ranganathaswamy tank as suggested by him at a cost of Rs 4,000/- with a permanent source of water supply by pipes and taps that he is prepared to pay half the cost of the scheme and to make a bund in the bed of the said tank at a cost of Rs 500/- to supply water when ever the tank happens to get dry.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

A scheme for water supply to Devarkonda town at a cost of Rs 6,500/- first taken up by the P.W.D. was considered by Govt in their order

NOTE—First sheet

GPE—15,000—24-1-00.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 1:2 of 1924

Serial No.

...copy No. C961-S, dt 130-23-24 the 24th July 1924.

2. The present petitioners probably against undertaking the above work as it will be a failing concern. The Dy Comr Bhagalpur District had, reported in para 4 of his letter No. GC 2262/23-6 dt 15th March 1924, that the rates submitted by Govt would not find favour with the Sylhet Municipality, the present petitioners and that the rates proposed by the petitioners were rejected by the P.W.D. after elaborate calculations as being unremunerative and costly and that it would not also meet with the approval of the municipality as they consider the enhancement of the rates unnecessary. The Hon'ble Minister for ... 23.9.24

3. First Member remarks on the petition - "Mean justice multiplied"

4. Sir ... L. L. Kay

5. 1st Member ...

Note—Cancn. check.

G.R.S. III-5

1925

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 112 of 19 24

Serial No.

Please put up separately

A draft is submitted for approval.

24.9.24

No. L 3030 of 6.1.25.

To Dr. Ramaiah

Forwards the petition for placing the matter before the Board of Devanahalli for further consideration

No. L 3030 of 6.1.25. Copy to Dr. Ramaiah

2/1/25

Petition of 31.3.25 from Mr. Gopalakrishnaiah of Devanahalli

States that it is decided that the funds for the improvement of the Palar Subject to the Devanahalli town as per Board's Alternate estimate should be borrowed by the Taluk Board Engineer Ramaiah Pillai on 3.4.25 and that the proposed proposed made by him, the Board's Engineer, if accepted would be a saving that enquiries obtain that the question of improving the Gopalakrishnaiah tank at a cost of Rs. 1000/- may be considered favorably and that the is proposed to pay half the cost of his

NOTE—Cloth : 12½.

B20

647

4

G. R. S. III-1,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | RC File No. 112 of 1924

Serial No.

of the assistance to be some lasting public service.

9 The previous petition of the Vakil Venkatappa on which the present petition is a reminder was forwarded to the Dy Comr, Bangalore District ~~in~~ placing the ~~matter~~ issue for the further consideration of the Taluk Committee Devanahalli.

10 Draft forwarding the present petition in continuation is submitted for approval or other orders.

Sd/- 15.4.25

11 The Dy Comr may perhaps be asked as to how the previous petition was disposed of by the Taluk Committee

12 2nd November

13 Yes

Sd/- 17/4

Sd/- 18/4

Sd/- 21/4

14 Done

Sd/- 27/4

4

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
1- 2. MAY 1925
Bangalore

To the Dy Comr Bangalore No. 17685-8

Forwarded the petn & requests that information may be furnished as to how the previous petn was disposed of—

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 112 of 1924

Serial No.

5 Endg. No. C. 2. S.C. 340/24-25 16.7.25 from the Secy. Panchayat Union

Reference that the Hon'ble Council of Devaru-kulathi in communication with the P.W.D. Engineer-in-charge of the Vaigai Irrigation Scheme to pay the cost by contributing Rs. 1000/- as per the proposal forwarded by them, his proposals might be worked out.

2 It is reported that the President of the Devarkulathi Hon'ble Council prior to placing the matter before the Hon'ble Council, consulted the Executive Engineer, Vaigai Scheme, who gave his opinion that the first proposal of Mr. Kuthuappa Pillai should be considered and the approach embankment should be worked out without proposing to the estimate already sanctioned if he is prepared to pay Rs. 1000/- as proposed by him.

3 It is therefore suggested that Mr. Kuthuappa Pillai's proposal should be considered and the Hon'ble Minister may be moved to issue the proposed orders in his own hand and that Mr. Kuthuappa Pillai may be informed accordingly. A suitable memorial address may be prepared

4 The work already done

Note—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. III-10

4795

File No. 112 of 19 24

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

To be in progress.

Whether the correspondence may be
recorded/~~shall be forwarded~~ Mark the
Question of interference
24/ 13.5.25 JMK 13825 Constitution route of any
with be considered when he reports on
the enquiry & communicates his final
reply in the matter - Superintendent Jamna
11-13 annas L. Wilson) 15/8/25

15-09
15/8

19 2nd Asst)

4. Mr. J Hutchappa
promised to pay only
half the addl Cost -
Please get Chief
Engr's opinion in detail (1) Whether
there is any danger of the
present Scheme failing as
feared by Mr Hutchappa -
(2) Whether the addn proposed
by him is absolutely necessary for
the health supply - (3) Whether
it is safe to have the well only
on the East the Embankment
(4) What minimum Cost of
the addn will be.
(5) Whether it is an essential
& desirable addn Engr
should find half the Extra
Cost & Mr. H. of
the balance)

14.8

General and Revenue Secretariat

D.N. 2086
21.8.25

4782

B.

App. B. III–2

File No. (1a of 1924

Serial No

RO No 383
20/8/25

21 Forwarded unofficially the Chief Engineer of Mysore for favour of opinion as ordered in the Members minute of 15/8/25

By order
R. Sankaranarayana
for Local Secretary

15/8/25

Bond 4/11/8

re Extract of para 2 of the Chief Engr's R.O Note No 7/P.W.D of 22nd July 1926 recorded in L.B. 28-94 improving the Road from Closepet to Magadi at a cost of Rs 14,164/- in five years.

" Para 1 x x x x x

" Para 2. Water Supply to Devanhalli &c.

Kind reference is invited to the This Office No B 10 332 of the 9th March 1926 forwarding Spin the R.O file is herewith returned

(dated) Signed J. Blake
20.7.26
Chief Engr.

L

2 The Chief Engr invites, ref to his letter No B 10 332 of the 9th March 1926 forwarding a copy of the Bangalore Division Executive Engr's letter furnishing information on the points required by the Members in para 20 supra.

L

10 yes-

Executive Engr's reply.

is any ~~(illegible)~~ There is every reason to believe that there will be no danger of the present scheme failing as feared by Mr Gale Rao Venkatappa. The anicut has been put across the feeder for diverting water into the tank and a greater supply can therefore be reasonably expected

the present Scheme feared by Mr Rao

App. F. 1H—2]

WD 0569—GPS—40,000—

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	

Notes.—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 17-12-35
[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 112 of 1924

Serial No.		
		the waste weir of the tank has also been raised so as that the present capacity of the tank after restoration has increased to 15 units against 12 units before restoration.
	What are the objections proposed by him and practically to improve the Water Supply	(2) The following 10 patterns for way to the present formulation of the town by Govt, the quantity required for the year is 9 units. Even allowing for evaporation, the storage in the tank supplemented by that obtainable from wells in the locality should be sufficient for the present formulation under ordinary circumstances
	Whether it is of use to have wells only without the embankment.	(3) Out of the objections proposed by the Municipality to improve the Water Supply to Devarakonda town, the sinking of two wells in the bed of the tank will improve the Water Supply in Summer.
	Whether it is of use to have the wells only without the embankment.	(3) The embankment proposed is only for preventing overflowing the wells with the tank and it is quite unnecessary when the tank is full.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.		
4) What minimum cost of the addi- tion would be	(4) The cost of excavating two wells with cement-Rings is estimated at Rs 8 each at the rate of Re 1 per cubic. The question is now under investigation. The facility is for having one well for the present.	
5) If it is an essential or desirable improvement	(5) Improvement can under Supply by excavating the new two wells in the back land with the pump connecting the well with the house is quite desirable, but not considered quite essential at present.	

Submitted for orders regarding reply to para 10 supra.

14/9/26 Sd/- Asst Member 23/9/26

1983/17/8

No further action required on this case.

Sd/- 15/9

A.S. 15/9

Sd/-

Reply furnishing the desired data from the Dy Comr, Bangalore Dist is sent for appl

Sd/- 29/9/26 Issued 6/10/26.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40/60 1/-12-30
[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 112 of 1924.

Serial No.	
8	Letter dt. 21-7-26 to the Deputy Public Relations Officer



Note—Contra Sheet

Name of Petitioner Mr. Velakrishnaiyer
of Devakottai

Grade of Petition 17.9.34

Stated that when the Petitioner
Member of Council visited Devakottai last
year he was pleased to accept
some of the petitioners suggestion
for repairing the Sri Ramalinga-
Krishna tank so as to ~~afford~~
provide for a permanent supply
of water supply to the townspeople
of Devakottai at a cost of
Rs 10000 recovering half the
~~Cost~~ Cost from the Devasthanam
and the other half from Govt,
on that the matter was forwarded
to the District and Taluk
authorities for taking necessary
action in the matter, that six
months thereafter, the Sub-Divisional
Officer of the P.W.D was instructed
the said work, when the Tahsil-
dar of the Taluk and the Peti-
tioners were not present in the
town ~~but~~ seemed to have

[illegible] a separate scheme for Bangalore
and [illegible] it [illegible] by Govt,
but the [illegible] scheme with
it amended, be a [illegible]
& and [illegible] that
the scheme originally suggested
by him [illegible] & [illegible]
on the tank was [illegible] by
him and [illegible] to that
may be allowed to make
work at his own cost [illegible]
to [illegible]. [illegible] in the
bed of the road tank so as
to afford water facilities
to the [illegible] Bangalore
tank [illegible] bathers to
get dry.

28.9.94

13

Mrl 11:2-24-1

NUE SECRETARIAT
50
SEP
GOVT. BRANCH

14 3

15

5

ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 1000/- ಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಲಾಭ ಅಥವಾ ನಷ್ಟ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿಯಿರಿ.

ಒಬ್ಬ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಯು ಒಂದು ಸೈಕಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ₹ 1200/- ಕ್ಕೆ ಖರೀದಿಸಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಸಾಗಾಣಿಕೆ ವೆಚ್ಚವಾಗಿ ₹ 100/- ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದನು. ಅವನು ಸೈಕಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಅವನಿಗೆ ₹ 200/- ನಷ್ಟವಾಯಿತು. ಅವನು ಸೈಕಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಬೆಲೆಗೆ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

ಒಬ್ಬ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಯು ಒಂದು ಸೈಕಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ₹ 1200/- ಕ್ಕೆ ಖರೀದಿಸಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಸಾಗಾಣಿಕೆ ವೆಚ್ಚವಾಗಿ ₹ 100/- ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದನು. ಅವನು ಸೈಕಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಅವನಿಗೆ ₹ 200/- ನಷ್ಟವಾಯಿತು. ಅವನು ಸೈಕಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಬೆಲೆಗೆ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದನು?

ಸಾಗಾಣಿಕೆ ವೆಚ್ಚವನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿದ ಖರೀದಿ ಬೆಲೆಯನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿಯಿರಿ.

ಸಾಗಾಣಿಕೆ ವೆಚ್ಚವನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿದ ಖರೀದಿ ಬೆಲೆ = ₹ 1200/- + ₹ 100/- = ₹ 1300/-

ಮಾರಾಟ ಬೆಲೆಯನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿಯಿರಿ. ನಷ್ಟವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮಾರಾಟ ಬೆಲೆಯು ಖರೀದಿ ಬೆಲೆಗಿಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಮಾರಾಟ ಬೆಲೆ = ₹ 1300/- - ₹ 200/- = ₹ 1100/-

ಅವನಿಗೆ ಆಗುವ ನಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿಯಿರಿ.

ನಷ್ಟ = ₹ 1300/- - ₹ 1100/- = ₹ 200/-

ಅವನು ಸೈಕಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ₹ 1100/- ಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅವನಿಗೆ ₹ 200/- ನಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಅವನು ಸೈಕಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ₹ 1000/- ಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಲಾಭ ಅಥವಾ ನಷ್ಟ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿಯಿರಿ.

17

(3) ಸದರಿಂದ್ಲೀಗಾತಿಲುಂಲಪ್ಪಂತಾ ರಜ್ಜಾದ
ಥೈ ತಿಂಲು ಶಾಂಲುಸೈಸಳ್ಳಿ ಲೈಲುತೆರಲು ಬ
ರಳ್ಳ ಆಲುಂತಲಾಲಿಂತಾ ನಿಲುತಿಶರಾಂತಿಲುಲುಂಲಪ್ಪ
ತಿಂದಲ್ಲಾ ಕ್ಲಾಸಿದಾಂತಾಲುಲ್ಲಾಕ್ಟ್ಲುವಡಾ

(4) ಕೆಳಿಅಂಲಂಗಿದ್ದಾಲುಲಂರಪ್ಪೆಕೆಲ್ಲಟಲಳಿಂದ
ಯಾಲಾಲುಲಾಲಂರಪ್ಪೆಲಂಕ್ಲಾಲಾಲಂರದಂತೆನಿದ
ತಿಅಂಲಂಗಿದ್ದಾನಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲುಲ್ಲಾಲಪ್ಪೆಲಪ್ಪೆಲಳಿಂದ
ದಾಕ್ಟ್ಲಿಲಾಲುಲಾಲ್ಲಾ ಲುಲ್ಲಿ ಲಂಲ್ಲಿಕಾಂದಪ್ಪೆ
ದಾ ಕ್ಲಾಲುವಡಾ

(5) ಕೆಳಿಸಿ ಲೈಲುಲಂರಪ್ಪೆಲಪ್ಪೆ ಲಲಂಲಳಿಲಾ
ರದಂತೆಕಾಂದು ಲರಲೆಲೈಲಾ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಬಲಾಂಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಲಾ
ಥೈಲಿಂದಲಾಲ್ಲಿಲಾ ಲಾಲ್ಲಾಕಾಂದು ಲೈಲಿಂಲಪ್ಪೆವಡಾ

(6) ಕೆಳಿಅಂಲಂಗಿದ್ದಾನಿಲ್ಲಿಂದಲೀಲಾ ೫೦೦/- ರೂಲಾ
ಲುವಲಿಂದಂಲಪ್ಪೆವಲಂಲಿಲುಲಾದ, ಕೆಳಿಲಲಿಲಿ
ಲ್ಲಿದಲಿಲಿಲಿ ಬಲಾಲಿಲುಲಿಲಾಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿ
ಲಲು ಲೈಲುಲಂಲಾಲಿಲಾಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿ
ಕೆಳಿಲು ವಡಾ

G. R. S. VI.—6/7]

DRAFT.

18

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. 12
File No. 112-24
Serial No. 2

No. L3030

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1950/L.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

(L. S. Q)

, the.....191

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

The accompanying Petition Registered No.....1950/L.....dated.....17. 9. 24.....

.....191 , received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from.....Sri Yalla Venkatappa.....

.....

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the.....

.....

.....

for.....

By Order,

.....
Secretary to Government.

P.T.O.

ಅಪೀಲಾದರ ..... ಅಪ್ಪೀಲಗಾರ

.....ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

.....ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು

.....ಸ.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ.

ಕಾರಣ.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗಮನಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು .....

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲಾಯಮ್ಮ.....ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ

....ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿದೆ.

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

List of enclosures

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 2276—SFS—2,125—20-5-17

App.F.VI-Gen.1

SUBJECT

9

Section Rl

File No. 112-24

Serial No. 4

No. L 7685

Exce Polis

Diary No. of letter replied to 64724

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L. S. Q.)

, the 192

To The Dy Comm^r, Bangalore District.

Date of issue 27-6-925

Signed by Mr J. KS Iyer

H

Sir,

In continuation of this Exce Memo No. L 3030/Rl (112-24-28 dt 6th October 1924, I am directed to forward ~~counter petition~~ for the early consideration of the Town Council of Devanhalli another petition dt 31st March 1925 received from Mr Exce Krishnappa of Devanhalli urging for taking up the improvement of the Eshwar Krishna tank at a cost of Rs 1000/- more to afford

List of enclosures

by

Examined by

over

a permanent source of water
supply is the Devonshaletown. Information
may be furnished early as to how the previous petition
was disposed of

I have to

20/11.

Jn L + L. Sec

15.6.25

15.4.25

In all future correspondence please quote
No.C.2nH.C.340/24-25.
.....Enc..one file.

Dewan 1.1924 Wales

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated Bangalore, the.....16th July 1925

V. SECTT. JUL 1925

B EN BA , ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT 4282 18. JUL 1925 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

Jul 112-24 — 5

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments, BANGALORE.

With reference to Official Memorandum No.3030/Ml.112-24-2 dated the h October 1924 forwarding the petition of Mr.Yele Krishnappa of Devanhalli the Devanhalli Water Supply for being placed before the Municipal - ncil for further consideration, I have the honor to state that the - sident prior to placing the matter before the Municipal Council consulted Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, who was of opinion that the - sh proposals of Mr. Yele Krishnappa for an additional well in the tank and an approach embankment could be worked out without prejudice to - estimate already sanctioned, if Mr.Krishnappa is prepared to meet the t by contributing the sum of Rs.10,000/- promised by him.

The Municipal Council at the meeting held on the 30th June 1925 sidered the proposals of Mr.Yele Krishnappa with the opinion of the ic Works Department, and resolved that if Mr. Yele Krishnappa were to the cost his proposals might also be worked out. To the communication to him by the President in November 1924, he has not yet replied as ther he has got an idea of sinking the proposed well in his own land. now been reminded by the President, that in case he were to come - d with his contributions supplemental estimates would be prepared. The petition presented to me by him on 17th September 1924 was also d for the kind information of Government.

In this connection I beg to submit that the Municipality has already its contribution to the Public Works Department, and the scheme as oned by Government is being worked out.

The

The connected records are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

37553

WD 1998—GPB—5,000—27-4-26

No. 10332 / 1

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore,.....9...March.....1926.

...BHORE.
Addl. .....
9 MAR 1926

CENTRAL REVENUE
3482 L
10 MAR
Bangalore

JOHN BHORE, Esq., A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law., M/12-24-6
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore

Sir

Adverting to your memo No.L.3031 dated the 6th October 1924, re improving Sri Gopalakrishnaswami Honda at Devanhalli for water supply to the Devanhalli town, I have the honour to forward herewith for information, a copy of the report No.741 dated the 13th February 1926 from the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

The Devanhalli Water Supply file with diary No. 4282 was sent U.O. to the Chief Engr on 20-9-25, in the matter of sinking new wells in the bed of Sri Gopalakrishnaswami Honda V.W.S.5th. at Devanhalli for water supply to Devanhalli town. The file is still with the Chief Engr. The present ref. is a progress report showing the Question now stands.

17.3.26

awaited
22/3/26
23/3

Letter No.741 dated the 13th February 1926 from the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Improving Sri Gopalakrishnaswami Honda for Devanhalli town water supply.

Reference:- Chief Engineer's No.B.4671 dated 22-10-1925

With reference to the above, requesting me to submit my report on the points noted in the reference,&c., I have the honour to state as follows:-

1. There is every reason to believe that there will be no danger of the present scheme failing as feared by Mr.Krishnappa. An ane has been put across the feeder for diverting water into the tank and a greater supply can therefore be reasonably expected. The waste weir of the tank has also been raised so that the present capacity of the tank after restoration has increased to 15 units against 12 units before restoration.

The present population of the town is 4,000. Allowing 10 gallons per head per day, the quantity required for the year 10 x 4000 x 365/10000 x 27 x 1/6 = 9 units.

Even allowing for evaporation, the storage in the tank supplemented by that obtainable from the wells in the locality should therefore be sufficient for the present population under ordinary circumstances.

2. 2. Out of the additions proposed by Mr.Krishnappa to improve the water supply of Devanhalli town, the proposal of excavating two wells in the bed of the tank will improve the water supply in summer.

3. The embankment proposed is only for passage connecting the well with the bund and it is quite necessary when the tank is full.

4. The cost of excavating two wells with embankment is estimated at Rs 3,000 at a rate Rs 1,500 each. The question is now under investigation. The Municipality is for having one well for the present.

5. Improving the water supply by excavating the new two wells in the tank bed with the passage connecting the well with the hund is quite desirable, but not considered quite essential at present.

"True copy"

~~pci~~ Chief Engineer of Mysore.

V.w.S.5th.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

V5

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Rd

File No. 112-24

Serial No. 7

No. L550.

ry No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
(L.S.G)
the *192*

To

The Chief Engr of Cochin.

Sir,

Date of issue 27/7/26

Signed by for J.C.S

I am directed to invite ref to ~~your (illegible) corresponding~~ with your letter No. 10332 G dtd 9th March 1926 and to request you to be so good as to expedite the return of this office file with your opinion on the question of improving Sri Gopalakrishnaswami thodu at Devanhalli for water supply to the Devanhalli town by means of works in the Tank bed with embankments as proposed therein. I have te

Assistant
2 Engr of the Bangalore
Executive Engineers
Dept. 9/8/1926 forwarded

List of enclosures.....

by.....

Examined by.....

for Lt L. Secy

17/7/26

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

07268

WD 1212—GPE—1,000—22-12-24

No. 1707

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore,.....9...August.....192 6.

GENERAL SECTION 651 10 AUG. 1926 LOCAL SELF GOVT.

FROM

JOHN BHORE. Esq., A.M.I.C.E., Etc.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

REV. SECTT. 6 AUG. 1926 SECTION.

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative
Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L.550/Ml.112-24-7 dated the 23rd July 1926, I have the honor to inform you that your Un-official file No.383 dated the 20th August 1925, re Water Supply to Devanhally town, was returned with this office Un-official No.7 P.W.D. dated the 22nd July 1926.

2. I agree with the Executive Engineer.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

7-8-26
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

HRH/6th.

2

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

27

ECT.....

Section rl
File No. 112-24
Serial No. 8

No. L 1481
en (record)

No. of letter replied to .. 4291

General and Revenue Secretariat
(L.S.G)
the 192

To

The Deputy Comr. Bangalore Dt.

of issue.. 26/8/26

by.....M.T.K.S.

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith the file of your office records received with your letter No. C-2-HQ 340/24-25 dt the 11th July 1925 relating to the petition of Veda Bishwappa of Devanhalli regarding Water Supply to Devanhalli town.

I have k

Yr
Ld L. Sg

enclosures.....

by.....

ined by .....

over

WD 0562 -GPB—31,000—17-8-25